IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE CRIMINAL JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.1156 OF 2005

The State of Maharashtra ..Appellant
Vs.
Ananta Kondiba Salunkhe & others ..Respondents

Office Notes,Office Memoranda of Coram, appearances, Court's order or directions and Registrar's orders.	Court's or Judge's orders.

Mrs.U.V.Kejriwal, A.P.P., for the Appellant State.
Mr.S.S.Shah for Respondent Nos.1 to 7.

CORAM : V.G. PALSHIKAR AND
SMT.NISHITA MHATRE, JJ.
DATED : 3RD MAY 2005

. Heard learned Additional Public Prosecutor on merits of the Application. The acquittal given by the learned Additional Sessions Judge is based on appreciation of evidence and after a careful marshalling of evidence on record, the learned Judge has come to the conclusion that the prosecution has failed to prove beyond doubt the factum of homicidal death. Such being the finding recorded by the learned Judge on appreciation of evidence, we do not find any reason to interfere with the order of acquittal since it cannot, by any stretch of imagination, be termed as illegal or perverse. Hence, leave

refused.